

Serial No. 09/741,411

A. Partyka 20

**REMARKS****Corrections to Amendment filed October 19, 2004**

In the Amendment filed on October 19, 2004, please correct errors as specified below:

1. In the Claims section, there were change markings omitted inadvertently in one portion of claim 18. On line 9, the word "varies" was replaced with "is varied" but the markings indicating the change were inadvertently not included. Please make a note of it and an appropriate correction if required.

2. In the entire section REMARKS, change all the references for reasons for rejection to 35 USC 103(a) as specified below. There was only one single reason for rejection given by the Office.

On page 6, line 7 change the heading:

**"Claim Rejection – 35 USC 102 (e)"** to the heading:

**-- Claim Rejection – 35 USC 103 (a) --**

On page 6, line 8-9 change the sentence/paragraph:

"Claims 1-20 were rejected under 35 USC 103(1) as being unpatentable over Gastouniotis et al. (Patent US 5,438,329)." to the sentence:

– Claims 1-20 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gastouniotis et al. (Patent US 5,438,329). –

On page 11, line 1-3 change the sentence/paragraph:

"The applicant respectfully submits that, in the light of the arguments given in respect to claim 1, the Office rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is traversed and that claim 8 is allowable. Because claims 9 to 14 depend on claim 8, they are too allowable." to the sentence:

Serial No. 09/741,411

A. Partyka 20

-- The applicant respectfully submits that, in the light of the arguments given in respect to claim 1, the Office rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is traversed and that claim 8 is allowable. Because claims 9 to 14 depend on claim 8, they are too allowable.--

On page 12, line 17-19 change the sentence/paragraph:

“The applicant respectfully submits that, in the light of the above arguments and the arguments given in respect to claim 1, the Office rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is traversed and that claim 15 is allowable. Because claims 16 to 17 depend on claim 15, they are too allowable.” to the sentence:

-- The applicant respectfully submits that, in the light of the above arguments and the arguments given in respect to claim 1, the Office rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 102(a) is traversed and that claim 15 is allowable. Because claims 16 to 17 depend on claim 15, they are too allowable.—

On page 13, line 16-18, change the sentence/paragraph:

“The applicant respectfully submits that, in the light of the arguments given so far, the Office rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is traversed and that claim 18 is allowable. Because claims 19 to 20 depend on claim 18, they are too allowable.” to the sentence:

-- The applicant respectfully submits that, in the light of the arguments given so far, the Office rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is traversed and that claim 18 is allowable. Because claims 19 to 20 depend on claim 18, they are too allowable.--

3. Correct numbering of the pages of the Amendment as follows.

Each page is numbered by indicating (1) the page number and (2) the number of pages in the Amendment. The second part is incorrectly printed as equal to the first part instead of indicating the total number of pages, which is 14.

On page 1, last line: change “1 of 1” to --1 of 14--

On page 2, last line: change “2 of 2” to --2 of 14--

On page 3, last line: change “3 of 3” to --3 of 14--

On page 4, last line: change “4 of 4” to --4 of 14--

Serial No. 09/741,411

A. Partyka 20

- On page 5, last line: change "5 of 5" to --5 of 14--
- On page 6, last line: change "6 of 6" to --6 of 14--
- On page 7, last line: change "7 of 7" to --7 of 14--
- On page 8, last line: change "8 of 8" to --8 of 14--
- On page 9, last line: change "9 of 9" to --9 of 14--
- On page 10, last line: change "10 of 10" to --10 of 14--
- On page 11, last line: change "11 of 11" to --11 of 14--
- On page 12, last line: change "12 of 12" to --12 of 14--
- On page 13, last line: change "13 of 13" to --13 of 14--

**Additional Remarks**

The Applicant wishes to inform the Office about of US patent references cited by the US PTO in conjunction with other patent cases by the Applicant, and about recently issued patents by the Applicant.

Please find the following lists below:

A list of US patent references cited by the US PTO in conjunction with other patent cases by the Applicant:

|                          |                             |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| US 5,309,448 – Bouloutas | US 5,657,343 – Schilling    |
| US 6,466,608 – Hong      | US 6,567,459 – Hakkinen     |
| US 5,414,731 – Antunes   | US 6,389,057 – Haarsen      |
| US 5,436,935 – Bernhard  | US 6,223,048 – Noll Barreto |
| US 5,121,408 – Cai       | US 6,778,521 – Korpela      |
| US 5,442,659 – Bauchot   | US 6,760,319 – Gerten       |

Recently issued patents by the Applicant:

US 6,700,920 – Partyka,  
US 6,728,293 – Partyka,  
US 6,731,223 – Partyka.

From 9087811902

to 17038729306

at 11/22/04 10:17 PM

010/010

Serial No. 09/741,411

A. Partyka 20

Request for Reconsideration Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Having responded to each and every ground for objection and rejection in the Office action mailed May 19, 2004, applicant requests reconsideration of the application pursuant to 37 CFR 1.111 and request that the Examiner allow the pending claims 1-20 and pass the application to issue.

Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-20 are allowable and requests that the Examiner pass the application to issue.

Respectfully,

By   
Andrzej Partyka  
908-781-1902

Date: Nov 22/04  
370 Finch Lane  
Bedminster, NJ 07921